

# Detailed Briefing Document: Review of "Vaccines Promote Lifelong Autoimmune Disease" by Jeremy James

**Date:** October 26, 2023 **Source:** Excerpts from "Review of Article Vaccines Promote Lifelong Autoimmune Disease by Jeremy James.pdf" **Author of Review:** DailyBriefs.info PODCAST

## Executive Summary:

This briefing document summarizes the main arguments and key points presented in a review of an article titled "Vaccines Promote Lifelong Autoimmune Disease" by Jeremy James. The review focuses heavily on the Nobel Prize-winning discovery of anaphylaxis by Dr. Charles Richet and interprets his findings as evidence of the inherent dangers of injecting foreign proteins, such as those found in vaccines. James, as presented in this review, argues that vaccines are a "perfect vector for mass infection," can cause permanent changes to the body's biochemistry leading to heightened sensitivity and autoimmune diseases, and might even be used as stealth bioweapons. The review highlights the author's belief that this information is suppressed by the medical and pharmaceutical industries.

## Main Themes and Important Ideas/Facts:

### 1. Vaccines as a Potential Harmful Intervention:

Jeremy James believes vaccines are not only of dubious value but actively harmful, labeling them a "perfect vector for mass infection."

Quote: "The author believes that vaccines are the 'perfect vector for mass infection'." He suggests that any negative effects of vaccines would be slow and gradual, making causal links difficult to establish.

Quote: "The author suggests that any poisoning effects of vaccines would be slow and gradual, making the connection to adverse effects difficult to see."

James raises concerns about mandatory vaccination efforts, questioning the motives of governments and the pharmaceutical industry, and suggesting a potential agenda to inflict harm using "vaccine-delivered toxins" or even debilitating/sterilizing drugs.

### 2. The Discovery of Anaphylaxis by Dr. Charles Richet:

A significant portion of the review focuses on the 1902 discovery of anaphylaxis by Dr. Charles Richet, who received the Nobel Prize in 1913 for this work.

Quote: "A Nobel Prize-winning discovery by Dr. Charles Richet in 1902 demonstrated 'anaphylaxis'..."

Anaphylaxis is defined as a state where an organism becomes hypersensitive rather than protected after an initial exposure to a substance.

Quote (quoting Richet): "'Anaphylaxis... means that state of an organism in which it is rendered hypersensitive, instead of being protected.'"

Richet's experiments showed that a subsequent injection of even a small dose of a substance weeks after the initial exposure could lead to a severe, even fatal, hypersensitive reaction.

Quote: "Richet demonstrated that after an initial low dose of a substance, a subsequent dose weeks later could produce a severe, heightened reaction, the opposite of immunity. He called this phenomenon anaphylaxis."

He found that parenteral injection (like vaccine inoculation) profoundly and permanently modifies the body's chemical constitution.

Quote (commentary on Richet's work): "He showed that in fact parenteral injection [such as vaccine inoculation] of protein substance modifies profoundly and permanently the chemical constitution of the body fluids."

Richet's research indicated that virtually any protein, not just toxins, could cause anaphylaxis.

Quote: "Further research showed that virtually any protein, not just toxins, could cause anaphylaxis..."

Once anaphylactized, a subject may never return to their former state, with sensitivity potentially lasting for years.

Quote (quoting Richet): "once a subject has been anaphylactized and consequently modified in his chemical constitution, then the subject can never go back to his former state. Return to normal is not possible."

### **3. The Danger of Injecting Foreign Proteins:**

The review emphasizes Richet's warning that the body is designed to receive proteins only after modification by digestive juices.

Quote (quoting Richet): "We are so constituted that we can never receive other proteins into the blood than those that have been modified by digestive juices. Every time alien protein penetrates by effraction [i.e. injection], the organism suffers and becomes resistant."

Injecting alien proteins bypasses this natural process and can lead to harmful immune responses, including increased sensitivity.

Quote (quoting Richet): "Every time alien protein penetrates by effraction [i.e. injection], the organism suffers and becomes resistant. This resistance lies in increased sensitivity, a sort of revolt against the second parenteral injection which would be fatal."

The text asserts that injecting any substance into the blood is potentially harmful and can lead to permanent changes and a range of unpredictable immune reactions.

### **4. Link Between Anaphylaxis, Foreign Protein Injection, and Autoimmune Diseases:**

James argues that repeated exposure to foreign proteins via vaccination leads to a state of "heightened sensitivity" and can trigger autoimmune diseases.

Quote: "The author contends that repeated exposure to foreign proteins via vaccination leads to a state of 'heightened sensitivity' and can trigger autoimmune diseases."

He posits that lesser immune reactions to injected foreign proteins will produce an inflammatory response in one or more organs, causing what is known as autoimmune disease.

Quote: "Lesser immune reactions will produce an inflammatory response in one or more organs of the body, causing what we call today an autoimmune disease."

The author believes that the frequency and intensity of common autoimmune diseases (e.g., multiple sclerosis, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, irritable bowel syndrome, asthma, lupus, thyroiditis, psoriasis, myasthenia gravis, Guillain-Barré, vasculitis) have increased since the introduction of childhood vaccine programs.

Quote: "The author believes that the frequency and intensity of common autoimmune diseases have increased since the introduction of childhood vaccine programs."

He suggests that autoimmune diseases cannot be cured, only alleviated.

### **5. Vaccines as Potential Stealth Bioweapons:**

James proposes that vaccines could be used as "stealth bioweapons" by introducing harmless substances that later trigger severe reactions upon subsequent exposure.

Quote: "The author proposes that vaccines could be used as 'stealth bioweapons' by introducing harmless substances that later trigger severe reactions upon subsequent exposure."

He describes a "binary or two-phase weapon" scenario where initial vaccines sensitize individuals, and later vaccines containing the same substance could cause anaphylactic shock.

Quote: "He describes a 'binary or two-phase weapon' scenario where initial vaccines sensitize individuals, and later vaccines containing the same substance could cause anaphylactic shock."

## **6. Suppression of Information:**

The author claims that the medical profession and the pharmaceutical industry continue to suppress information about Richet's discovery and its implications for vaccine safety.

Quote: "Finally, the author claims that despite all this evidence, the medical profession and the pharmaceutical industry continue to suppress information about Richet's discovery."

## **7. Other Points Mentioned:**

The review lists common categories of vaccine ingredients, including antigens, preservatives, adjuvants, additives, stabilizers, antibiotics, and inactivating chemicals.

The author mentions the "Illuminati Plan" based on Alice Bailey's writings, indicating 2025 as a significant year for their agenda.

The CDC's 2019 estimate that about one in six children aged 3 through 17 years have one or more developmental disabilities is noted, and the author suggests vaccines as a plausible cause for increasing rates.

General anaphylaxis, where sensitization to one injected substance increases susceptibility to others, is mentioned based on Richet's work.

## **Glossary Review:**

The provided glossary reinforces the author's interpretation of terms, particularly emphasizing the negative connotations associated with vaccines and their potential to cause harm through mechanisms like anaphylaxis and autoimmune responses.

## **Conclusion:**

The review of Jeremy James's article presents a highly critical perspective on vaccination, drawing heavily on Dr. Charles Richet's discovery of anaphylaxis to argue that injecting foreign proteins can have profound and permanently harmful effects on the body. James, as portrayed, believes vaccines are a dangerous intervention linked to autoimmune diseases, potentially used for malicious purposes, and that information regarding their risks is being suppressed by the medical establishment. This briefing document reflects the arguments and information presented within the reviewed source and does not represent an endorsement of these views.

convert\_to\_textConvert to source

## **Summary**

This document presents a critical review of an article by Jeremy James, who posits that vaccines can promote lifelong autoimmune diseases. The central argument revolves around the discovery of anaphylaxis by Dr. Charles Richet, suggesting that the injection of foreign proteins, as occurs in vaccination, can lead to a state of hypersensitivity and permanent modification of the body's biochemistry, rather than protection. The author, James, contends that this well-known phenomenon since the early 20th century demonstrates the potential for vaccines to trigger harmful immune responses, possibly

explaining an alleged increase in autoimmune disorders. Furthermore, the review explores the disturbing idea that vaccines could be intentionally used as "stealth bioweapons" through mechanisms involving initial sensitization followed by later activation.

Discuss Vaccine harm potential.

Based on the provided source, Jeremy James argues strongly that **vaccines have significant harm potential**<sup>1</sup> .... He contends that **vaccines are of dubious value and potentially harmful**, even suggesting they are a "**perfect vector for mass infection**"<sup>1</sup> ....

The author raises several concerns regarding the potential for harm from vaccines:

- He suggests that any **poisoning effects of vaccines would be slow and gradual**, making the connection to adverse effects difficult to observe<sup>3</sup> .
- Drawing on Dr. Charles Richet's Nobel Prize-winning discovery of anaphylaxis in 19023 ..., the author emphasizes that vaccines, as a form of parenteral injection, can cause **anaphylaxis, a state of heightened sensitivity rather than protection**<sup>5</sup> ....
- Richet's research showed that **parenteral injection (like vaccine inoculation) of protein substance profoundly and permanently modifies the body's chemical constitution**<sup>8</sup> ....
- The source notes that once a subject is anaphylactized, they **may never return to their former state**, with sensitivity potentially lasting for years<sup>7</sup> ....
- Furthermore, there is also "**general anaphylaxis**," where sensitization to one injected substance increases susceptibility to others<sup>21</sup> ....
- The author highlights Richet's warning that the **body is designed to receive proteins only after modification by digestive juices**, and injecting alien proteins can lead to harmful immune responses, including increased sensitivity<sup>18</sup> ....
- He concludes that it has been well known since 1913 that **injecting substances into the blood is potentially harmful and can lead to permanent changes and a range of unpredictable immune reactions**<sup>21</sup> ..., possibly causing **autoimmune diseases which have allegedly increased since the introduction of childhood vaccine programs**<sup>2</sup> .... Examples of these autoimmune diseases listed include multiple sclerosis, diabetes, and rheumatoid arthritis<sup>2</sup> ....
- The author proposes that **vaccines could be used as "stealth bioweapons"** by introducing harmless substances that later trigger severe reactions upon subsequent exposure<sup>28</sup> .... He describes a "**binary or two-phase weapon**" scenario where initial vaccines sensitize individuals, and later vaccines containing the same substance could cause anaphylactic shock<sup>7</sup> ....
- Richet's findings indicated that **virtually any protein, not just toxins, could cause anaphylaxis**, including even minute amounts of organic liquids like milk, serum, egg, and muscle extract<sup>10</sup> ....
- The author claims that the **biochemical status of the body is permanently and irreversibly changed** after contamination with foreign protein via injection<sup>26</sup> ....

Lesser immune reactions to injected foreign proteins can produce an **inflammatory response in one or more organs of the body, causing what is called today an autoimmune disease**, which cannot be cured, only alleviated<sup>28</sup> ....

•  
The author also suggests that vaccines could be used to inject "debilitating or sterilizing drugs" into the entire population<sup>1</sup> ....

•  
He notes the increasing rates of **developmental disabilities** in children and suggests that vaccines are a plausible cause<sup>33</sup> ....

•  
Finally, the author claims that the **medical profession and the pharmaceutical industry continue to suppress information about Richet's discovery** and the potential harms of vaccines<sup>25</sup> ....

It is important to note that the source presents a critical perspective on vaccines based on the author's interpretation of Dr. Richet's findings and alleged increases in certain health conditions<sup>4</sup> .

keep\_pinSave to note

copy\_all

thumb\_up

thumb\_down

Discuss Anaphylaxis discovery.

Based on the provided source, the discovery of **anaphylaxis in 1902 by Dr. Charles Richet** is presented as a pivotal and concerning finding with significant implications for understanding the effects of injecting substances into the body, particularly in the context of vaccination<sup>1</sup> . This groundbreaking work earned him the **Nobel Prize for Medicine/Physiology in 1913**<sup>1</sup> ....

Dr. Charles Richet's Discovery: In 1902, Dr. Charles Richet demonstrated a phenomenon in dogs that was the **opposite of immunization**<sup>1</sup> . Instead of becoming protected after an initial low dose of a substance, the dogs exhibited a **severe reaction** upon receiving a new dose some weeks later<sup>1</sup> . Richet named this phenomenon **anaphylaxis**, which he defined as a state where an organism is **rendered hypersensitive instead of being protected**<sup>1</sup> ....

Richet's Experiments and Findings: Richet described three possible responses to a second injection of a toxin given after a 3-4 week interval<sup>3</sup> :

•  
No change in effect<sup>3</sup> .

•  
Reduced effect (similar to drug tolerance or what medical science calls immunization)<sup>3</sup> .

•  
**Greatly increased effect**, which was Richet's discovery of anaphylaxis<sup>3</sup> .... He noted that in this case, the first injection, instead of protecting the organism, made it **more fragile and more susceptible**<sup>5</sup> .

Key findings from Richet's further research, as described in the source, include<sup>5</sup> ....

•  
A subject that has had a previous injection is **far more sensitive** than a new subject.

•  
The symptoms of the second injection in an anaphylactic reaction (**swift and total depression of the nervous system**) are different from the symptoms of the first injection.

•  
**A three to four week period of incubation** must elapse before the anaphylactic state results.

•  
Initially, Richet thought the injected substance had to be a toxin, but further research revealed that **virtually any (seemingly harmless) protein** could cause anaphylaxis. This was further supported by the work of Rosenau and Anderson, who demonstrated anaphylaxis after every injection of serum, even in

minute amounts, and cited examples from various organic liquids like milk, serum, egg, and muscle extract.

**The Permanent Nature of Anaphylactic Sensitization:** Richet's research led him to the conclusion that once a subject has been anaphylactized and their chemical constitution modified, they **can never return to their former state**<sup>8</sup> .... Sensitivity to the triggering reaction could persist for years<sup>8</sup> .... The Nobel Prize website highlighted that Richet's work showed that **parenteral injection (such as vaccine inoculation) of protein substances profoundly and permanently modifies the chemical constitution of the body fluids**<sup>2</sup> ....

**Richet's Warning about Injected Proteins:** In his Nobel Prize speech, Richet issued a significant warning, stating that the **human body is constituted to only safely receive proteins into the blood that have been modified by digestive juices**<sup>8</sup> .... He argued that **every time alien protein enters the blood via injection, the organism suffers and develops resistance in the form of increased sensitivity, potentially leading to a fatal anaphylactic shock upon a second injection**<sup>8</sup> .... He viewed anaphylaxis as a **universal defense mechanism against the penetration of foreign substances into the blood**<sup>8</sup> ....

**Implications and Suppression:** The source emphasizes that Richet's discovery, made in 1902 and recognized with a Nobel Prize in 1913, established that **injecting substances into the blood is potentially harmful and permanently alters the body's biochemistry**<sup>11</sup> .... The author of the source further contends that this crucial information about anaphylaxis and the dangers of injecting foreign proteins has been **suppressed by the medical establishment and pharmaceutical industry** despite its profound implications for practices like vaccination<sup>18</sup> ....

As discussed in our previous conversation, the author, Jeremy James, uses Richet's discovery of anaphylaxis to argue that vaccines, which involve the parenteral injection of foreign proteins, have significant harm potential due to this phenomenon of heightened sensitivity<sup>22</sup> . He suggests that repeated exposure to foreign proteins via vaccination can lead to a state of "heightened sensitivity" and potentially trigger autoimmune diseases<sup>23</sup> .

keep\_pinSave to note

copy\_all

thumb\_up

thumb\_down

Discuss Foreign protein injection.

Based on the provided source and our previous discussion, the injection of foreign proteins into the body is presented as a **potentially harmful process with significant implications for the immune system**<sup>1</sup> . The source heavily emphasizes the **1902 discovery of anaphylaxis by Dr. Charles Richet**, which earned him the Nobel Prize in 1913, as central to understanding these risks<sup>1</sup> . Anaphylaxis demonstrates that after an initial injection of even a low dose of a substance (a foreign protein), a subsequent dose weeks later can produce a **severe, even fatal reaction**, characterized by **hypersensitivity rather than protection**<sup>1</sup> ....

According to the source, Richet's research showed that the **parenteral injection (such as vaccine inoculation) of protein substances profoundly and permanently modifies the chemical constitution of the body fluids**<sup>3</sup> .... He found that:

- A subject that has received a previous injection becomes **far more sensitive** to subsequent injections than a subject receiving the substance for the first time<sup>3</sup> ....

- The symptoms resulting from the second injection are **distinctly different** from those of the first injection, often involving a **swift and total depression of the nervous system**<sup>3</sup> ....

This heightened sensitivity, or anaphylaxis, can be triggered by **virtually any protein**, not just substances that are inherently toxic<sup>5</sup> .... Richet's work demonstrated this with various organic liquids like milk, serum, egg, and muscle extract<sup>5</sup> ....

Once an individual is anaphylactically sensitized, this condition appears to be **permanent**, with sensitivity potentially lasting for years<sup>7</sup> .... **Return to a former state is not possible**<sup>7</sup> ....

The author of the source highlights Richet's conclusion that the **human body is designed to receive proteins only after they have been modified by digestive juices**<sup>7</sup> .... He argues that when alien proteins are introduced directly into the bloodstream via injection, the organism suffers and develops resistance in the form of **increased sensitivity**, leading to the risk of anaphylactic shock upon subsequent exposure<sup>7</sup> .... This is described as a **universal defense mechanism against the entry of foreign substances into the blood**<sup>7</sup> ....

Furthermore, the source suggests that even **less severe immune reactions to injected foreign proteins can lead to an inflammatory response in various organs, which is equated with autoimmune disease**<sup>12</sup> .... These diseases, such as multiple sclerosis, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, and others listed, are stated to be incurable by medical treatment, only alleviated, and their frequency and intensity are believed by the author to have increased since the introduction of childhood vaccine programs<sup>12</sup> ....

The source also raises the alarming possibility that vaccines could be used as **stealth bioweapons** by employing a **two-phase mechanism** where an initial harmless protein injection creates an anaphylactic trigger that can be activated years later by a second injection of the same protein<sup>14</sup> ....

In conclusion, the injection of foreign proteins, as discussed in this source, is portrayed as a **fundamentally risky practice** due to the body's potential for developing anaphylaxis and other adverse immune responses, including what are classified as autoimmune diseases<sup>14</sup> .... The author emphasizes the **lasting and potentially sensitizing effects** of such injections, drawing heavily on the early 20th-century findings of Dr. Charles Richet<sup>14</sup> .... The source also claims that the significance of these findings has been **deliberately suppressed by the medical and pharmaceutical industries**<sup>14</sup> ....

keep\_pinSave to note

copy\_all

thumb\_up

thumb\_down

Discuss Autoimmune disease link.

Based on the provided source and our conversation history, **Jeremy James strongly argues for a link between vaccines and autoimmune diseases**<sup>1</sup> ....

The source posits that since **Dr. Charles Richet's discovery of anaphylaxis in 1902**, it has been known that **injecting foreign proteins can profoundly and permanently modify the body's biochemistry**<sup>1</sup> .... Richet's work demonstrated that the body can become **hypersensitive to subsequent injections**, with the second reaction often being more severe and different in nature than the first<sup>1</sup> ....

According to the source, **lesser immune reactions resulting from the intravenous introduction of foreign proteins (as occurs with vaccines) will produce an inflammatory response in one or more organs of the body, leading to what is called today an autoimmune disease**<sup>14</sup> .... The author claims that these **autoimmune diseases cannot be cured by medical treatment, only alleviated**<sup>14</sup> ....

The source provides a list of common autoimmune diseases that the author believes have **increased in frequency and intensity since the introduction of the childhood vaccine program**<sup>14</sup> .... This list includes:

•

Multiple sclerosis<sup>14</sup> ...

•

Diabetes<sup>14</sup> ...

•

Rheumatoid arthritis14 ...

•

Irritable bowel syndrome14 ...

•

Asthma14 ...

•

Lupus14 ...

•

Thyroiditis14 ...

•

Psoriasis14 ...

•

Myasthenia gravis14 ...

•

Guillain-Barré14 ...

•

Vasculitis14 ...

Furthermore, the source mentions that there are **known to be more than 100 different autoimmune diseases**, and the 'official list' may not include down-stream pathologies<sup>20</sup>. The author also notes the **increasing rates of developmental disorders** and suggests that few doctors are prepared to admit that vaccines are a plausible cause of **autism spectrum disorders**<sup>19</sup> ....

The central argument is that the **injection of foreign proteins in vaccines bypasses the digestive system, which is the body's natural mechanism for neutralizing potential immune responses**<sup>21</sup> ....

This, according to the source, leads to a **state of heightened sensitivity** and can trigger the body's immune system to attack its own tissues, resulting in autoimmune conditions<sup>16</sup> ....

In conclusion, the source asserts that the understanding of **anaphylaxis since 1902**, particularly the **permanent alteration of body chemistry** and the potential for **any foreign protein to trigger severe immune reactions**, provides a scientific basis for the claim that **vaccines can promote lifelong autoimmune diseases**<sup>1</sup> .... The author believes this crucial information has been **suppressed by the medical and pharmaceutical industries**<sup>5</sup> ....

It is important to remember that this perspective, as presented by Jeremy James in the source, is critical of vaccination and based on a particular interpretation of Dr. Richet's findings.

keep\_pinSave to note

copy\_all

thumb\_up

thumb\_down

Discuss Permanent body modification.

Based on the provided source and our conversation history, the concept of **permanent body modification** is significantly discussed in the context of **Dr. Charles Richet's discovery of anaphylaxis** and the author's subsequent arguments about the effects of **vaccine injections**.

The source emphasizes Richet's finding that **parenteral injection (like vaccine inoculation) of protein substances profoundly and permanently modifies the chemical constitution of the body fluids**<sup>1</sup> ....

This is a central tenet in the author, Jeremy James's, critique of vaccination.

Key aspects of this permanent modification, as presented in the source, include:

•

**Irreversible Change:** Richet concluded that **once a subject has been anaphylactized and consequently modified in his chemical constitution, then the subject can never go back to his former state. Return to normal is not possible**<sup>1</sup> .... The source quotes Richet stating, "once a subject has been anaphylactized... then the subject can never go back to his former state. Return to normal is not

possible"8 . This suggests a lasting alteration to the body's fundamental biochemistry due to the injection of foreign proteins.

•

**Lasting Sensitivity:** The heightened sensitivity resulting from anaphylaxis is also described as potentially **lasting for years**1 .... This implies that the body's altered state is not transient but can persist long-term.

•

**Mechanism of Modification:** The author explains this permanent modification by referencing Richet's warning that **the body is designed to receive proteins only after modification by digestive juices**<sup>9</sup> .... Injecting alien proteins directly into the bloodstream, bypassing this digestive process, is seen as a harmful intrusion that the body reacts to by becoming hypersensitive<sup>8</sup> .... This reaction is what causes the permanent alteration.

The author further argues that this **permanent modification resulting from the injection of foreign proteins in vaccines can lead to a range of unpredictable immune reactions, possibly causing autoimmune diseases**<sup>16</sup> . He suggests that the increased prevalence of autoimmune diseases since the introduction of childhood vaccine programs is a consequence of this permanent alteration of the body's chemical constitution<sup>16</sup> ....

In summary, the source, through its interpretation of Dr. Richet's work on anaphylaxis, posits that vaccines cause a **profound and permanent modification of the body's chemical constitution** due to the injection of foreign proteins. This modification is described as irreversible, leading to lasting hypersensitivity and potentially triggering the development of autoimmune diseases. The author views this permanent alteration as a significant and harmful consequence of vaccination, a perspective that has been central to our previous discussions.

keep\_pinSave to note

copy\_all

thumb\_up

thumb\_down

1 source

send

## chevron\_right

The author believes that vaccines are not only of dubious value but are potentially harmful and the "perfect vector for mass infection."

Richet discovered anaphylaxis, a phenomenon where an initial low dose of a substance causes a severe, even fatal, hypersensitive reaction to a subsequent dose, the opposite of the protection expected from immunization.

Richet showed that parenteral injection of protein substance profoundly and permanently modifies the body's chemical constitution; the author suggests this permanent alteration by vaccines can lead to harmful immune responses, including autoimmune diseases.

General anaphylaxis is when sensitization to one injected substance increases susceptibility to other injected substances, whereas specific anaphylaxis is a severe reaction to subsequent exposure to the same substance.

Richet warned that the body is designed to receive proteins only after modification by digestive juices, and injecting alien proteins directly into the blood can lead to harmful immune responses and increased sensitivity.

The author argues that the heightened sensitivity caused by injected foreign proteins in vaccines can lead to inflammatory responses in the body's organs, resulting in autoimmune diseases such as multiple sclerosis, diabetes, or rheumatoid arthritis.

The "binary or two-phase weapon" involves an initial vaccine with a harmless substance that sensitizes individuals, and a later vaccine containing the same substance that triggers a severe anaphylactic reaction.

The author claims that the "Illuminati Plan," based on Alice Bailey's writings, indicates 2025 as a significant year for their agenda.

The author questions the motives behind mandatory vaccination efforts, suggesting a potential agenda by governments and the pharmaceutical industry to inflict serious harm using "vaccine-delivered toxins" or debilitating/sterilizing drugs.

The author claims that the medical profession and the pharmaceutical industry have suppressed information about Richet's discovery and its implications for vaccine safety.

**Anaphylaxis:** A severe, potentially life-threatening allergic reaction that can occur rapidly after exposure to an allergen. In the context of Richet's work, it refers to a state of heightened sensitivity where a second injection of a substance causes a more severe reaction than the first.

**Autoimmune Disease:** A condition in which the body's immune system mistakenly attacks its own tissues and organs. The author suggests that vaccines can trigger these diseases by causing inflammatory responses to injected foreign proteins.

**Parenteral Injection:** The administration of a substance into the body by a route other than through the digestive tract, typically by needle injection. The author highlights Richet's findings on the effects of this method of introducing substances.

**Foreign Protein:** Proteins originating from outside the body. The author emphasizes the body's potential adverse reactions, such as anaphylaxis and autoimmune responses, to foreign proteins introduced directly into the bloodstream via injection.

**Hypersensitivity:** A condition in which the body exhibits an exaggerated or excessive immune response to a substance, as seen in anaphylaxis. The author argues that vaccines can induce this state.

**Vector (for Mass Infection):** A means by which harmful agents can be transmitted to a large population. The author controversially suggests that vaccines could serve this purpose for delivering harmful substances.

**Stealth Bioweapon:** A biological weapon designed to be difficult to detect or trace. The author speculates that vaccines could be used in this way by causing delayed or untraceable adverse health effects.

**Binary Weapon:** A weapon system consisting of two or more components that are harmless individually but produce a toxic effect when combined. The author applies this concept to vaccines, suggesting an initial sensitizing injection followed by a trigger injection.

**Immune Response:** The body's defense mechanism against foreign substances, such as pathogens. The author focuses on how the immune response to injected vaccine components can lead to adverse effects like anaphylaxis and autoimmune reactions.

**Digestive Juices:** Fluids produced by the digestive system that break down proteins into smaller, less reactive components. The author cites Richet's belief that proteins should only enter the bloodstream after being processed by these juices.

convert\_to\_textConvert to source

## Frequently Asked Questions Based on the Provided Source

### 1. What is the main argument presented in the reviewed article by Jeremy James regarding vaccines?

The reviewed article by Jeremy James argues that vaccines are not only of dubious value but are potentially harmful, acting as a "perfect vector for mass infection" and possibly a tool for injecting

debilitating substances. The author contends that mandatory vaccination efforts suggest a malevolent intent by governments and the pharmaceutical industry to inflict harm on humanity using "vaccine-delivered toxins." Furthermore, the article highlights the discovery of anaphylaxis by Dr. Charles Richet, suggesting that vaccines can cause the body to become hypersensitized and permanently alter its biochemical status, potentially leading to autoimmune diseases.

## **2. What is anaphylaxis, and why is Dr. Charles Richet's discovery of it in 1902 considered significant in this context?**

Anaphylaxis, discovered by Dr. Charles Richet in 1902, is a phenomenon where an organism, after an initial exposure to a substance (even a low dose), exhibits a severe, potentially life-threatening hypersensitive reaction upon a subsequent exposure to the same or a related substance. This is the opposite of immunization, where the body is expected to develop protection. Richet's discovery is considered significant because it demonstrated that parenteral injection (like vaccine inoculation) of protein substances could profoundly and permanently modify the body's chemical constitution, leading to increased sensitivity rather than protection.

## **3. According to the source, what did Dr. Richet's research reveal about the effects of injecting foreign proteins into the body?**

Dr. Richet's research, as presented in the source, revealed several key points about injecting foreign proteins: a subject with a previous injection is far more sensitive to subsequent injections; the symptoms of the second injection can be different and more severe, involving a rapid depression of the nervous system; a 3-4 week incubation period is needed for this hypersensitivity to develop; and virtually any protein, not just toxins, can cause anaphylaxis. Richet also concluded that once a subject is anaphylactized, they may never return to their former state of sensitivity, and this heightened sensitivity can persist for years. He warned that the body is designed to receive proteins only after modification by digestive juices, and injecting alien proteins can lead to harmful immune responses.

## **4. How does the reviewed article connect vaccines to the potential development of autoimmune diseases?**

The article argues that the injection of foreign proteins via vaccination bypasses the digestive system, leading to a state of heightened sensitivity (anaphylaxis or lesser immune reactions). These lesser reactions, according to the author, can produce an inflammatory response in various organs, which is equated with the development of autoimmune diseases. The author claims that the increased frequency and intensity of common autoimmune diseases (such as multiple sclerosis, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, etc.) since the introduction of childhood vaccine programs supports this link. The central idea is that repeated exposure to foreign proteins through vaccines can trigger the body's immune system to mistakenly attack its own tissues.

## **5. What are some of the common vaccine ingredients mentioned in the source, and how are they relevant to the author's concerns?**

The source lists common vaccine ingredients as antigens, preservatives, adjuvants, additives, stabilizers, antibiotics, and inactivating chemicals. While the author does not explicitly detail how each ingredient contributes to harm, the overall concern is that these are foreign substances

being injected into the body, potentially triggering the hypersensitivity reactions described by Richet or causing other adverse immune responses that could lead to autoimmune diseases. The inclusion of adjuvants, which are meant to enhance the immune response, might be seen as particularly relevant to the author's argument about heightened sensitivity.

## **6. What is the concept of "general anaphylaxis" as described in the source, and what are its potential implications regarding vaccines?**

General anaphylaxis, according to Richet as described in the source, is a phenomenon where an animal that has been made anaphylactically sensitive to one injected substance becomes significantly more susceptible to other injected substances compared to an animal without the initial sensitization. The potential implication for vaccines, according to the author's perspective, is that sensitization to one component in a vaccine or to one vaccine itself could increase an individual's susceptibility to adverse reactions from subsequent exposures to other vaccines or even other injected substances.

## **7. How does the reviewed article suggest that vaccines could potentially be used as "stealth bioweapons" or part of a "binary weapon" system?**

The article proposes that vaccines could be used as stealth bioweapons by introducing harmless substances that later trigger severe reactions upon subsequent exposure. The "binary or two-phase weapon" scenario involves initial vaccines sensitizing individuals to a particular substance, and later vaccines containing the same substance could then cause anaphylactic shock or other severe reactions. The idea is that the initial sensitization might go unnoticed, and the later triggering event could appear unrelated, making it a "stealth" weapon.

## **8. What does the author claim regarding the medical profession and pharmaceutical industry's awareness and handling of Dr. Richet's findings on anaphylaxis?**

The author claims that despite the evidence from Dr. Richet's discovery of anaphylaxis, the medical profession and the pharmaceutical industry continue to suppress information about its implications, particularly concerning the dangers of injecting foreign proteins through vaccines. The author urges readers to consider this information and draw their own conclusions, suggesting a deliberate concealment of the potential harms associated with vaccination.

convert\_to\_textConvert to source

## **Timeline of Main Events**

**1902:** Dr. Charles Richet discovers the phenomenon of anaphylaxis while conducting experiments on dogs. He observes that an initial low dose of a substance can lead to a severe, even fatal, reaction upon a subsequent dose weeks later, the opposite of immunization.

**Around 1902 (Ongoing Research):** Richet's further research demonstrates that parenteral injection (like vaccine inoculation) of protein substances profoundly and permanently modifies the chemical constitution of the body fluids.

**Around 1902 (Further Findings):** Richet's research reveals several key aspects of anaphylaxis:

A subject with a previous injection is far more sensitive than a new subject.

The symptoms of the second injection are different, involving a swift and total depression of the nervous system.

A three to four week incubation period is needed for the anaphylactic state to develop. Virtually any protein, not just toxins, can cause anaphylaxis, including minute amounts of organic liquids like milk, serum, egg, and muscle extract.

**Early 20th Century (Pre-1913):** Richet concludes that once a subject is anaphylactized, they can never return to their former state, with sensitivity potentially lasting for years. He warns that the body is designed to receive proteins only after modification by digestive juices, and injecting alien proteins can lead to harmful immune responses, including increased sensitivity.

**1913:** Dr. Charles Richet is awarded the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine for his discovery of anaphylaxis.

**Post-1913:** Based on Richet's findings, the author of the reviewed article (Jeremy James) asserts that it has been well known since this time that injecting any substance into the blood is potentially harmful and can lead to permanent changes and a range of unpredictable immune reactions.

**20th and 21st Centuries (Ongoing Argument):** The author argues that the introduction of childhood vaccine programs has led to an increase in the frequency and intensity of autoimmune diseases. He lists examples such as multiple sclerosis, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, and others.

**Unspecified Time (Alleged Suppression):** The author claims that the medical profession and the pharmaceutical industry have suppressed information about Richet's discovery and its implications for vaccine safety.

**2019:** The CDC estimates that about one in six children aged 3 through 17 years have one or more developmental disabilities. The author suggests a potential link to vaccines.

**Unspecified Time (Author's Conclusion):** The author concludes that vaccines are potentially harmful, acting as a "perfect vector for mass infection" and possibly a tool for injecting debilitating substances. He also raises the possibility of vaccines being used as "stealth bioweapons" or "binary weapons."

**Unspecified Time (Alleged "Illuminati Plan"):** The author mentions the "Illuminati Plan," based on Alice Bailey's writings, indicating 2025 as a significant year for their agenda (the connection to vaccines is implied).

## Cast of Characters

**Jeremy James:** The author of the article "Vaccines Promote Lifelong Autoimmune Disease." He believes vaccines are a "perfect vector for mass infection" and can lead to autoimmune diseases due to the injection of foreign proteins, drawing heavily on the work of Dr. Charles Richet. He is highly critical of mandatory vaccination efforts and suggests a malevolent intent by governments and the pharmaceutical industry.

**Dr. Charles Richet (1850-1935):** A French physiologist who won the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine in 1913 for his discovery of anaphylaxis in 1902. His research demonstrated that a second injection of a substance could cause a severe, even fatal, hypersensitive reaction, the opposite of immunity. He concluded that parenteral injection modifies the body's chemical constitution profoundly and permanently and warned against injecting alien proteins directly into the bloodstream.

**Alice Bailey (1880-1949):** An influential writer on theosophy and esotericism. The author of the reviewed article references her writings in the context of an alleged "Illuminati Plan" with a significant year of 2025, although the specific connection to vaccines within Bailey's work is not detailed in this source.

**Rosenau and Anderson:** Researchers who further supported Richet's findings by demonstrating anaphylaxis after every injection of serum, even in minute amounts, and

cited examples from various organic liquids. Their specific roles or dates of their work beyond supporting Richet's findings are not detailed.

**Individuals with Autoimmune Diseases (Mentioned):** A general group of people suffering from conditions such as multiple sclerosis, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, irritable bowel syndrome, asthma, lupus, thyroiditis, psoriasis, myasthenia gravis, Guillain-Barré, and vasculitis. The author believes the prevalence of these conditions has increased due to childhood vaccination programs.

**Children with Developmental Disabilities (Mentioned):** A group of children in the US, with the CDC estimating that about one in six aged 3-17 have one or more developmental disabilities. The author suggests a potential link to vaccines and autism spectrum disorders.

**The Medical Profession and Pharmaceutical Industry (as portrayed by the author):** Depicted as entities that suppress information about the dangers of vaccines and the implications of Richet's discovery. The author questions their motives in promoting and mandating vaccinations.

**The "Illuminati" (as portrayed by the author):** A shadowy group allegedly behind a plan with significant implications for 2025, possibly related to the author's concerns about vaccines, based on interpretations of Alice Bailey's writings.

[convert\\_to\\_text](#)[Convert to source](#)